U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
451 Seventh Street, SW
Washington, DC 20410
www.hud.gov
espanol.hud.gov

Environmental Review for Activity/Project that is Categorically Excluded Subject to Section 58.5

Pursuant to 24 CFR 58.35(a)

Project Information

Project Name: Sierra-Gardens-ADA-Improvements-

HEROS Number: 900000010536611

Start Date: 06/01/2026

Responsible Entity (RE): ROSEVILLE, 311 Vernon St Roseville CA, 95678

State / Local Identifier: CA/ B-26-MC-06-0043

RE Preparer: Danielle Calli

Certifying Officer: Trisha Isom

Grant Recipient (if different than R esponsible Entity):

Point of Contact:

Point of Contact:
Consultant (if applicable):

40 CFR 1506.5(b)(4): The lead agency or, where appropriate, a cooperating agency shall prepare a disclosure statement for the contractor's execution specifying that the contractor has no financial or other interest in the outcome of the action. Such statement need not include privileged or confidential trade secrets or other confidential business information.

By checking this box, I attest that as a consultant, I have no financial or other interest in the outcome of the undertaking assessed in this environmental review.

Project Location: 1616 Kit Carson Way, Roseville, CA 95661

Additional Location Information:
The project area includes multiple improvement locations within the Sierra Gardens neighborhood in southeast Roseville, bounded by Douglas Boulevard to the north, Linda Creek to the south, Sunrise Avenue to the west, and Rocky Ridge Drive to the east. 1616 Kit Carson Way is centrally located within the project area.

Direct Comments to: Danielle Calli

Description of the Proposed Project [24 CFR 50.12 & 58.32; 40 CFR 1508.25]:

The Sierra Gardens ADA Improvements project will improve accessibility on select routes within the Sierra Gardens neighborhood by removing architectural barriers and ensuring compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA). These improvements will enhance access to nearby businesses along Douglas Boulevard and to Maidu Regional Park on Rocky Ridge Drive. The work includes constructing approximately 90 curb ramps within existing sidewalks, removing and replacing existing curb returns, and relocating drainage inlets as needed to accommodate the ramp improvements. Construction activities will involve concrete demolition, excavation, and grading, resulting in localized ground disturbance at each improvement location. Waste management and debris generated during construction will be hauled to Western Placer Management Authority. General construction equipment will be used, including a backhoe, excavator, and jackhammer. The staging will be the responsibility of the Contractor and must be located outside the public right-of-way in a contractor environmentally cleared site. The estimated construction duration for the project is six months. All improvements will be constructed within existing City of Roseville right of way, and no property acquisition or easements are required.

Maps, photographs, and other documentation of project location and description:
Pictures.docx

Level of Environmental Review Determination:
Categorically Excluded per 24 CFR 58.35(a), and subject to laws and authorities at 58.5:
58.35(a)(2)

Determination:

	This categorically excluded activity/project converts to **EXEMPT** per Section 58.34(a)(12), because it does not require any mitigation for compliance with any listed statutes or authorities, nor requires any formal permit or license; **Funds may be committed and drawn down after certification of this part** for this (now) EXEMPT project; OR
✓	This categorically excluded activity/project cannot convert to Exempt status because one or more statutes or authorities listed at Section 58.5 requires formal consultation or mitigation. Complete consultation/mitigation protocol requirements, **publish NOI/RROF and obtain "Authority to Use Grant Funds"** (HUD 7015.16) per Section 58.70 and 58.71 before committing or drawing down any funds; OR
	This project is not categorically excluded OR, if originally categorically excluded, is now subject to a full Environmental Assessment according to Part 58 Subpart E due to extraordinary circumstances (Section 58.35(c)).

Approval Documents:

7015.15 certified by Certifying Officer on:

7015.16 certified by Authorizing Officer on:

Funding Information

Grant / Project Identification Number	HUD Program	Program Name	Funding Amount
B-26-MC-06-0043	Community Planning and Development (CPD)	Community Development Block Grants (CDBG) (Entitlement)	$1,003,827.34

Estimated Total HUD Funded, Assisted or Insured Amount: $1,003,827.34

Estimated Total Project Cost: $1,150,000.00

Compliance with 24 CFR §50.4, §58.5 and §58.6 Laws and Authorities

Compliance Factors: Statutes, Executive Orders, and Regulations listed at 24 CFR §50.4, §58.5, and §58.6	Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?	Compliance determination (See Appendix A for source determinations)
STATUTES, EXECUTIVE ORDERS, AND REGULATIONS LISTED AT 24 CFR §50.4 & § 58.6		
Airport Hazards Clear Zones and Accident Potential Zones; 24 CFR Part 51 Subpart D	☐ Yes ☑ No	The project site is not within 15,000 feet of a military airport or 2,500 feet of a civilian airport. The project is in compliance with Airport Hazards requirements. The following airports are located within 15 miles of the City limits. None are located within 5 miles of City limits: Lincoln Regional Airport, McClellan Airfield, Rio Linda Airport. Sacramento International Airport Source: https://nepassisttool.epa.gov/ Accessed: 6/18/26. See attached map of airport points and polygons.
Coastal Barrier Resources Act Coastal Barrier Resources Act, as amended by the Coastal Barrier Improvement Act of 1990 [16 USC 3501]	☐ Yes ☑ No	This project is located in a state that does not contain CBRS units. Therefore, this project is in compliance with the Coastal Barrier Resources Act. Source: https://www.fws.gov/CBRA/Maps/Mapper.html Accessed: 6/18/26 See attached map of Coastal Barrier Resource Areas.
Flood Insurance Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 and National Flood Insurance Reform Act of 1994 [42 USC 4001-4128 and 42 USC 5154a]	☐ Yes ☑ No	Based on the project description the project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under this section. The project does not require flood insurance or is excepted from flood insurance. While flood insurance may not be mandatory in this instance, HUD recommends that all insurable structures maintain flood insurance under the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP). The project is in compliance with Flood Insurance requirements.
STATUTES, EXECUTIVE ORDERS, AND REGULATIONS LISTED AT 24 CFR §50.4 & § 58.5		
Air Quality Clean Air Act, as amended,	☐ Yes ☑ No	Based on the project description, this project includes no activities that would

particularly section 176(c) & (d); 40 CFR Parts 6, 51, 93		require further evaluation under the Clean Air Act. The project is in compliance with the Clean Air Act.
Coastal Zone Management Act Coastal Zone Management Act, sections 307(c) & (d)	☐ Yes ☑ No	This project is not located in or does not affect a Coastal Zone as defined in the state Coastal Management Plan. The project is in compliance with the Coastal Zone Management Act.
Contamination and Toxic Substances 24 CFR 50.3(i) & 58.5(i)(2)]	☐ Yes ☑ No	Site contamination was evaluated as follows: None of the above. On-site or nearby toxic, hazardous, or radioactive substances that could affect the health and safety of project occupants or conflict with the intended use of the property were not found. The project is exempt from radon consideration. The project is in compliance with contamination and toxic substances requirements.
Endangered Species Act Endangered Species Act of 1973, particularly section 7; 50 CFR Part 402	☐ Yes ☑ No	The project consists of ADA improvements to existing sidewalks, including the installation of curb ramps and associated removal and replacement of existing concrete sidewalk, curb, and gutter. All construction activities will occur entirely within existing paved and previously disturbed areas of developed public rights-of-way. No vegetation removal, disturbance of natural ground, or impacts to suitable habitat are anticipated. The project will not result in direct or indirect effects to federally listed species or designated critical habitat. Therefore, the project will have No Effect on federally listed species or designated critical habitat.
Explosive and Flammable Hazards Above-Ground Tanks)[24 CFR Part 51 Subpart C	☐ Yes ☑ No	The project consists of ADA accessibility improvements to existing public sidewalks, including installation of curb ramps and replacement of existing concrete improvements. The project does not involve residential development, construction or rehabilitation that increases residential

		densities, or conversion of existing property to another use. Based on the project description the project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under this section. The project is in compliance with explosive and flammable hazard requirements.
Farmlands Protection Farmland Protection Policy Act of 1981, particularly sections 1504(b) and 1541; 7 CFR Part 658	☐ Yes ☑ No	This project does not include any activities that could potentially convert agricultural land to a non-agricultural use. The project is in compliance with the Farmland Protection Policy Act.
Floodplain Management Executive Order 11988, particularly section 2(a); 24 CFR Part 55	☐ Yes ☑ No	The following exception applies, therefore the project is in compliance with Executive Orders 11988 and 13690: 55.12(i) Special projects directed to the removal of material and architectural barriers that restrict the mobility of and accessibility to elderly and persons with disabilities.
Historic Preservation National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, particularly sections 106 and 110; 36 CFR Part 800	☐ Yes ☑ No	Based on the project description the project has No Potential to Cause Effects. The project is in compliance with Section 106. See atatched approval letter and email.
Noise Abatement and Control Noise Control Act of 1972, as amended by the Quiet Communities Act of 1978; 24 CFR Part 51 Subpart B	☐ Yes ☑ No	The project consists of ADA accessibility improvements to existing public sidewalks, including installation of curb ramps and replacement of existing concrete sidewalks, curbs, and gutters. The project does not involve new residential construction, rehabilitation of residential properties, or construction or rehabilitation of other noise-sensitive uses. Therefore, the project is not subject to HUD Noise Abatement and Control requirements under 24 CFR Part 51, Subpart B. No further noise analysis is required.
Sole Source Aquifers Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974, as amended, particularly section 1424(e); 40 CFR Part 149	☐ Yes ☑ No	The project is not located on a sole source aquifer area. The project is in compliance with Sole Source Aquifer requirements.

Wetlands Protection Executive Order 11990, particularly sections 2 and 5	☐ Yes ☑ No	Based on the project description, field inspection photographs, and available project documentation, the proposed improvements are not anticipated to directly or indirectly impact onsite or off-site wetlands. Therefore, the project is determined to have no anticipated adverse wetland impacts under Executive Order 11990 and 24 CFR Part 55. The project will not impact wetlands. The project is in compliance with Executive Order 11990.
Wild and Scenic Rivers Act Wild and Scenic Rivers Act of 1968, particularly section 7(b) and (c)	☐ Yes ☑ No	This project is not within proximity of a NWSRS river. The project is in compliance with the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act. Source: https://www.rivers.gov/river-app/index.html?state=CA Accessed: 6/17/26 See attached map.
HUD HOUSING ENVIRONMENTAL STANDARDS		

Mitigation Measures and Conditions [40 CFR 1505.2(c)]:

Summarized below are all mitigation measures adopted by the Responsible Entity to reduce, avoid or eliminate adverse environmental impacts and to avoid non-compliance or non-conformance with the above-listed authorities and factors. These measures/conditions must be incorporated into project contracts, development agreements and other relevant documents. The staff responsible for implementing and monitoring mitigation measures should be clearly identified in the mitigation plan.

Law, Authority, or Factor	Mitigation Measure or Condition	Comments on Completed Measures	Mitigation Plan	Complete
Historic Preservation / Tribal Cultural Resources	If suspected Tribal Cultural Resources (TCRs) or resources of cultural significance to the United Auburn Indian Community (UAIC) are discovered during construction or ground-disturbing activities, all work shall immediately cease within 100 feet of the discovery, or another agreed-upon distance. The City of Roseville and UAIC Tribal	N/A	The City of Roseville Public Works Department shall ensure that the construction contractor(s) are informed of and comply with the UAIC Tribal Cultural Resources	

	Representative shall be notified immediately. The UAIC Tribal Representative, in coordination with the City of Roseville, shall evaluate the discovery and recommend appropriate treatment, including avoidance, preservation in place, secure storage, or respectful reburial, as appropriate. Work shall not resume at the discovery location until authorized by the City of Roseville in coordination with the culturally affiliated Tribe. If human remains are discovered, the Placer County Coroner and culturally affiliated Tribe shall be contacted immediately. Applicable Native American Heritage Commission and Most Likely Descendant procedures shall be followed if the remains are determined to be Native American. Discoveries shall be documented on DPR 523 forms and submitted to the appropriate CHRIS center within two weeks of discovery.		discovery procedures before ground-disturbing activities begin. The City shall coordinate with UAIC regarding any discoveries and ensure that appropriate treatment, documentation, and protection measures are implemented. Compliance documentation shall be maintained in the project file	

Project Mitigation Plan

See the attached Tribal Cultural Resources letter.

UnanticipatedDiscoveries_TCRs 2026.docx

Supporting documentation on completed measures

APPENDIX A: Related Federal Laws and Authorities

Airport Hazards

General policy	Legislation	Regulation
It is HUD's policy to apply standards to prevent incompatible development around civil airports and military airfields.		24 CFR Part 51 Subpart D

1. To ensure compatible land use development, you must determine your site's proximity to civil and military airports. Is your project within 15,000 feet of a military airport or 2,500 feet of a civilian airport?

✓ **No**

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload the map showing that the site is not within the applicable distances to a military or civilian airport below

Yes

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

The project site is not within 15,000 feet of a military airport or 2,500 feet of a civilian airport. The project is in compliance with Airport Hazards requirements. The following airports are located within 15 miles of the City limits. None are located within 5 miles of City limits: Lincoln Regional Airport, McClellan Airfield, Rio Linda Airport. Sacramento International Airport Source: https://nepassisttool.epa.gov/ Accessed: 6/18/26. See attached map of airport points and polygons.

Supporting documentation

Airports.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

✓ No

Coastal Barrier Resources

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
HUD financial assistance may not be used for most activities in units of the Coastal Barrier Resources System (CBRS). See 16 USC 3504 for limitations on federal expenditures affecting the CBRS.	Coastal Barrier Resources Act (CBRA) of 1982, as amended by the Coastal Barrier Improvement Act of 1990 (16 USC 3501)	

This project is located in a state that does not contain CBRA units. Therefore, this project is in compliance with the Coastal Barrier Resources Act.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

This project is located in a state that does not contain CBRS units. Therefore, this project is in compliance with the Coastal Barrier Resources Act. Source: https://www.fws.gov/CBRA/Maps/Mapper.html Accessed: 6/18/26 See attached map of Coastal Barrier Resource Areas.

Supporting documentation

Coastal Barrier.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

✓ No

Flood Insurance

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Certain types of federal financial assistance may not be used in floodplains unless the community participates in National Flood Insurance Program and flood insurance is both obtained and maintained.	Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 as amended (42 USC 4001-4128)	24 CFR 50.4(b)(1) and 24 CFR 58.6(a) and (b); 24 CFR 55.1(b).

1. Does this project involve financial assistance for construction, rehabilitation, or acquisition of a mobile home, building, or insurable personal property?

✓ No. This project does not require flood insurance or is excepted from flood insurance.

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Yes

4. While flood insurance is not mandatory for this project, HUD strongly recommends that all insurable structures maintain flood insurance under the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP). Will flood insurance be required as a mitigation measure or condition?

Yes

✓ No

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

Based on the project description the project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under this section. The project does not require flood insurance or is excepted from flood insurance. While flood insurance may not be mandatory in this instance, HUD recommends that all insurable structures maintain flood insurance under the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP). The project is in compliance with Flood Insurance requirements.

Supporting documentation

roseville flood map.pdf
National Flood Hazard.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Sierra-Gardens-ADA-Improvements-	Roseville, CA	900000010536611

Yes

✓ No

Air Quality

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
The Clean Air Act is administered by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), which sets national standards on ambient pollutants. In addition, the Clean Air Act is administered by States, which must develop State Implementation Plans (SIPs) to regulate their state air quality. Projects funded by HUD must demonstrate that they conform to the appropriate SIP.	Clean Air Act (42 USC 7401 et seq.) as amended particularly Section 176(c) and (d) (42 USC 7506(c) and (d))	40 CFR Parts 6, 51 and 93

1. Does your project include new construction or conversion of land use facilitating the development of public, commercial, or industrial facilities OR five or more dwelling units?

Yes

✓ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

Based on the project description, this project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under the Clean Air Act. The project is in compliance with the Clean Air Act.

Supporting documentation

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

✓ No

Coastal Zone Management Act

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Federal assistance to applicant agencies for activities affecting any coastal use or resource is granted only when such activities are consistent with federally approved State Coastal Zone Management Act Plans.	Coastal Zone Management Act (16 USC 1451-1464), particularly section 307(c) and (d) (16 USC 1456(c) and (d))	15 CFR Part 930

1. Is the project located in, or does it affect, a Coastal Zone as defined in your state Coastal Management Plan?

Yes

✓ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload all documents used to make your determination below.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

This project is not located in or does not affect a Coastal Zone as defined in the state Coastal Management Plan. The project is in compliance with the Coastal Zone Management Act.

Supporting documentation

Coastal zone management 02.pdf
Coastal Barrier(1).pdf
Costal Zone.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

✓ No

Contamination and Toxic Substances

General Requirements	Legislation	Regulations
It is HUD policy that all properties that are being proposed for use in HUD programs be free of hazardous materials, contamination, toxic chemicals and gases, and radioactive substances, where a hazard could affect the health and safety of the occupants or conflict with the intended utilization of the property.		24 CFR 58.5(i)(2) 24 CFR 50.3(i)
Reference		
https://www.onecpd.info/environmental-review/site-contamination		

1. How was site contamination evaluated?* Select all that apply.

ASTM Phase I ESA

ASTM Phase II ESA

Remediation or clean-up plan

ASTM Vapor Encroachment Screening.

✓ None of the above

* HUD regulations at 24 CFR § 58.5(i)(2)(ii) require that the environmental review for multifamily housing with five or more dwelling units or non-residential property include the evaluation of previous uses of the site or other evidence of contamination on or near the site.
For acquisition and new construction of multifamily and nonresidential properties HUD strongly advises the review include an ASTM Phase I Environmental Site Assessment (ESA) to meet real estate transaction standards of due diligence and to help ensure compliance with HUD's toxic policy at 24 CFR §58.5(i) and 24 CFR §50.3(i). Also note that some HUD programs require an ASTM Phase I ESA.

2. Were any on-site or nearby toxic, hazardous, or radioactive substances* (excluding radon) found that could affect the health and safety of project occupants or conflict with the intended use of the property? (Were any recognized environmental conditions or RECs identified in a Phase I ESA and confirmed in a Phase II ESA?)

Provide a map or other documentation of absence or presence of contamination** and explain evaluation of site contamination in the Screen Summary at the bottom of this screen.

✓ No

Explain:

The project consists of minor ADA curb ramp improvements within the existing public right of way. The site is not a property intended for residential or long?term occupancy and does not involve acquisition, rehabilitation, or construction of structures. A review of publicly available environmental data, local GIS information, and site characteristics indicates no known or suspected hazardous, toxic, or radioactive substances on or near the project area. No recognized environmental conditions (RECs) were identified, and no Phase I or Phase II ESA is required for this type of project.

Yes

* This question covers the presence of radioactive substances excluding radon. Radon is addressed in the Radon Exempt Question.
** Utilize EPA's Enviromapper, NEPAssist, or state/tribal databases to identify nearby dumps, junk yards, landfills, hazardous waste sites, and industrial sites, including EPA National Priorities List Sites (Superfund sites), CERCLA or state-equivalent sites, RCRA Corrective Action sites with release(s) or suspected release(s) requiring clean-up action and/or further investigation. Additional supporting documentation may include other inspections and reports.

3. Evaluate the building(s) for radon. Do all buildings meet any of the exemptions* from having to consider radon in the contamination analysis listed in CPD Notice CPD-23-103?

✓ Yes

Explain:

The project consists solely of ADA curb ramp improvements within the existing public right of way and does not involve any buildings or enclosed structures. Because no buildings are present and no structures are being constructed, rehabilitated, or acquired, the project meets the exemptions listed in CPD Notice CPD 23 103. Radon evaluation is not required for this type of infrastructure project.

No

* Notes:
- Buildings with no enclosed areas having ground contact.
- Buildings containing crawlspaces, utility tunnels, or parking garages would not be exempt, however buildings built on piers would be exempt, provided that there is open air

between the lowest floor of the building and the ground.

- Buildings that are not residential and will not be occupied for more than 4 hours per day.
- Buildings with existing radon mitigation systems - document radon levels are below 4 pCi/L with test results dated within two years of submitting the application for HUD assistance and document the system includes an ongoing maintenance plan that includes periodic testing to ensure the system continues to meet the current EPA recommended levels. If the project does not require an application, document test results dated within two years of the date the environmental review is certified. Refer to program office guidance to ensure compliance with program requirements.
- Buildings tested within five years of the submission of application for HUD assistance: test results document indoor radon levels are below current the EPA's recommended action levels of 4.0 pCi/L. For buildings with test data older than five years, any new environmental review must include a consideration of radon using one of the methods in Section A below.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

Site contamination was evaluated as follows: None of the above. On-site or nearby toxic, hazardous, or radioactive substances that could affect the health and safety of project occupants or conflict with the intended use of the property were not found. The project is exempt from radon consideration. The project is in compliance with contamination and toxic substances requirements.

Supporting documentation

Superfund sites.pdf
EnviroStor.pdf
EPA.pdf
GeoTracker(1).pdf
GeoTracker 1.pdf
Google- Gas station.pdf
Google dry cleaners.pdf
Google autp repair shops.pdf
Google Industrial Facilities.pdf
Google Rail yards.pdf
Google landfill.pdf
Contamination and Toxic Substances.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

✓ No

Sierra-Gardens-ADA-Improvements-	Roseville, CA	900000010536611

Endangered Species

General requirements	ESA Legislation	Regulations
Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act (ESA) mandates that federal agencies ensure that actions that they authorize, fund, or carry out shall not jeopardize the continued existence of federally listed plants and animals or result in the adverse modification or destruction of designated critical habitat. Where their actions may affect resources protected by the ESA, agencies must consult with the Fish and Wildlife Service and/or the National Marine Fisheries Service ("FWS" and "NMFS" or "the Services").	The Endangered Species Act of 1973 (16 U.S.C. 1531 *et seq*.); particularly section 7 (16 USC 1536).	50 CFR Part 402

1. Does the project involve any activities that have the potential to affect specifies or habitats?

✓ No, the project will have No Effect due to the nature of the activities involved in the project.

This selection is only appropriate if none of the activities involved in the project have potential to affect species or habitats. Examples of actions without potential to affect listed species may include: purchasing existing buildings, completing interior renovations to existing buildings, and replacing exterior paint or siding on existing buildings.
Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

No, the project will have No Effect based on a letter of understanding, memorandum of agreement, programmatic agreement, or checklist provided by local HUD office

Yes, the activities involved in the project have the potential to affect species and/or habitats.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

The project consists of ADA improvements to existing sidewalks, including the installation of curb ramps and associated removal and replacement of existing concrete sidewalk, curb, and gutter. All construction activities will occur entirely within existing paved and previously disturbed areas of developed public rights-of-

way. No vegetation removal, disturbance of natural ground, or impacts to suitable habitat are anticipated. The project will not result in direct or indirect effects to federally listed species or designated critical habitat. Therefore, the project will have No Effect on federally listed species or designated critical habitat.

Supporting documentation

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

✓ No

Explosive and Flammable Hazards

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
HUD-assisted projects must meet Acceptable Separation Distance (ASD) requirements to protect them from explosive and flammable hazards.	N/A	24 CFR Part 51 Subpart C

1. Is the proposed HUD-assisted project itself the development of a hazardous facility (a facility that mainly stores, handles or processes flammable or combustible chemicals such as bulk fuel storage facilities and refineries)?

✓ No

Yes

2. Does this project include any of the following activities: development, construction, rehabilitation that will increase residential densities, or conversion?

✓ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Yes

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

The project consists of ADA accessibility improvements to existing public sidewalks, including installation of curb ramps and replacement of existing concrete improvements. The project does not involve residential development, construction or rehabilitation that increases residential densities, or conversion of existing property to another use. Based on the project description the project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under this section. The project is in compliance with explosive and flammable hazard requirements.

Supporting documentation

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

✓ No

Farmlands Protection

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
The Farmland Protection Policy Act (FPPA) discourages federal activities that would convert farmland to nonagricultural purposes.	Farmland Protection Policy Act of 1981 (7 U.S.C. 4201 et seq.)	7 CFR Part 658

1. Does your project include any activities, including new construction, acquisition of undeveloped land or conversion, that could convert agricultural land to a non-agricultural use?

Yes

✓ No

If your project includes new construction, acquisition of undeveloped land or conversion, explain how you determined that agricultural land would not be converted:

The project consists solely of ADA curb ramp installation within existing public right of way. These improvements occur on already developed transportation corridors and do not involve acquisition of land, expansion of the roadway footprint, or disturbance of agricultural areas. Review of zoning, aerial imagery, and land use designations confirms that no agricultural land is present within the project work areas. Therefore, no conversion of agricultural land would occur.

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload all documents used to make your determination below.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

This project does not include any activities that could potentially convert agricultural land to a non-agricultural use. The project is in compliance with the Farmland Protection Policy Act.

Supporting documentation

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

✓ No

Sierra-Gardens-ADA-Improvements-	Roseville, CA	900000010536611

Floodplain Management

General Requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Executive Order 11988, Floodplain Management, requires Federal activities to avoid impacts to floodplains and to avoid direct and indirect support of floodplain development to the extent practicable.	Executive Order 11988 * Executive Order 13690 * 42 USC 4001-4128 * 42 USC 5154a * only applies to screen 2047 and not 2046	24 CFR 55

1. Does this project meet an exemption at 24 CFR 55.12 from compliance with HUD's floodplain management regulations in Part 55?

✓ Yes

(a) HUD-assisted activities described in 24 CFR 58.34 and 58.35(b).

(b) HUD-assisted activities described in 24 CFR 50.19, except as otherwise indicated in § 50.19.

(c) The approval of financial assistance for restoring and preserving the natural and beneficial functions and values of floodplains and wetlands, including through acquisition of such floodplain and wetland property, where a permanent covenant or comparable restriction is place on the property's continued use for flood control, wetland projection, open space, or park land, but only if:
(1) The property is cleared of all existing buildings and walled structures; and
(2) The property is cleared of related improvements except those which:
(i) Are directly related to flood control, wetland protection, open space, or park land (including playgrounds and recreation areas);
(ii) Do not modify existing wetland areas or involve fill, paving, or other ground disturbance beyond minimal trails or paths; and
(iii) Are designed to be compatible with the beneficial floodplain or wetland function of the property.

(d) An action involving a repossession, receivership, foreclosure, or similar acquisition of property to protect or enforce HUD's financial interests under previously approved loans, grants, mortgage insurance, or other HUD assistance.

(e) Policy-level actions described at 24 CFR 50.16 that do not involve site-based decisions.

(f) A minor amendment to a previously approved action with no additional adverse impact on or from a floodplain or wetland.

(g) HUD's or the responsible entity's approval of a project site, an incidental portion of which is situated in the FFRMS floodplain (not including the floodway, LiMWA, or coastal high hazard area) but only if: (1) The proposed project site does not include any existing or proposed buildings or improvements that modify or occupy the FFRMS floodplain except de minimis improvements such as recreation areas and trails; and (2) the proposed project will not result in any new construction in or modifications of a wetland .

(h) Issuance or use of Housing Vouchers, or other forms of rental subsidy where HUD, the awarding community, or the public housing agency that administers the contract awards rental subsidies that are not project-based (i.e., do not involve site-specific subsidies).

✓ (i) Special projects directed to the removal of material and architectural barriers that restrict the mobility of and accessibility to elderly and persons with disabilities.

Describe:

Yes. The project is exempt under 24 CFR 55.12(c)(3) because it involves installation of ADA curb ramps within existing public right of way. This work constitutes minor improvements to existing infrastructure and does not involve new construction, expansion of the footprint, or any activity that would alter floodplain characteristics. Therefore, the project is exempt from Part 55 floodplain management compliance requirements.

No

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

The following exception applies, therefore the project is in compliance with Executive Orders 11988 and 13690: 55.12(i) Special projects directed to the removal of material

and architectural barriers that restrict the mobility of and accessibility to elderly and persons with disabilities.

Supporting documentation

National Flood Hazard(1).pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

✓ No

Historic Preservation

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Regulations under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA) require a consultative process to identify historic properties, assess project impacts on them, and avoid, minimize, or mitigate adverse effects	Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (16 U.S.C. 470f)	36 CFR 800 "Protection of Historic Properties" https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/CFR-2012-title36-vol3/pdf/CFR-2012-title36-vol3-part800.pdf

Threshold

Is Section 106 review required for your project?

	No, because the project consists solely of activities listed as exempt in a Programmatic Agreement (PA). (See the PA Database to find applicable PAs.)
✓	No, because the project consists solely of activities included in a No Potential to Cause Effects memo or other determination [36 CFR 800.3(a)(1)].
	No, because the project consists solely of activities covered by the ACHP's PROGRAM COMMENT ON CERTAIN HOUSING, BUILDING AND TRANSPORTATION UNDERTAKINGS, as demonstrated in the ACHP PC Checklist.
	Yes, because the project includes activities with potential to cause effects (direct or indirect).

Threshold (b). Document and upload the memo or explanation/justification of the other determination below:

No. The project consists solely of ADA curb ramp installation within existing public right of way. This work is limited to minor alterations of existing sidewalks and paved surfaces and does not involve demolition, expansion, or ground disturbance outside previously disturbed areas. Therefore, the project has No Potential to Cause Effects under 36 CFR 800.3(a)(1), and Section 106 review is not

required.

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

Based on the project description the project has No Potential to Cause Effects. The project is in compliance with Section 106. See atatched approval letter and email.

Supporting documentation

Email response from Museums.pdf
2026 letter to Placer County.pdf
SHPO Response.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

✓ No

Noise Abatement and Control

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
HUD's noise regulations protect residential properties from excessive noise exposure. HUD encourages mitigation as appropriate.	Noise Control Act of 1972 General Services Administration Federal Management Circular 75-2: "Compatible Land Uses at Federal Airfields"	Title 24 CFR 51 Subpart B

1. What activities does your project involve? Check all that apply:

New construction for residential use

Rehabilitation of an existing residential property

A research demonstration project which does not result in new construction or reconstruction

An interstate land sales registration

Any timely emergency assistance under disaster assistance provision or appropriations which are provided to save lives, protect property, protect public health and safety, remove debris and wreckage, or assistance that has the effect of restoring facilities substantially as they existed prior to the disaster

✓ None of the above

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

The project consists of ADA accessibility improvements to existing public sidewalks, including installation of curb ramps and replacement of existing concrete sidewalks, curbs, and gutters. The project does not involve new residential construction, rehabilitation of residential properties, or construction or rehabilitation of other noise-sensitive uses. Therefore, the project is not subject to HUD Noise Abatement and Control requirements under 24 CFR Part 51, Subpart B. No further noise analysis is required.

Supporting documentation

Sierra-Gardens-ADA-Improvements-	Roseville, CA	900000010536611

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

✓ No

Sole Source Aquifers

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
The Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974 protects drinking water systems which are the sole or principal drinking water source for an area and which, if contaminated, would create a significant hazard to public health.	Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974 (42 U.S.C. 201, 300f et seq., and 21 U.S.C. 349)	40 CFR Part 149

1. Does the project consist solely of acquisition, leasing, or rehabilitation of an existing building(s)?

Yes

✓ No

2. Is the project located on a sole source aquifer (SSA)?

A sole source aquifer is defined as an aquifer that supplies at least 50 percent of the drinking water consumed in the area overlying the aquifer. This includes streamflow source areas, which are upstream areas of losing streams that flow into the recharge area.

< No

✓

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload documentation used to make your determination, such as a map of your project (or jurisdiction, if appropriate) in relation to the nearest SSA and its source area, below.

Yes

3. Does your region have a memorandum of understanding (MOU) or other working agreement with Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) for HUD projects impacting a sole source aquifer?

Yes

Sierra-Gardens-ADA-Improvements-	Roseville, CA	900000010536611

No

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

The project is not located on a sole source aquifer area. The project is in compliance with Sole Source Aquifer requirements.

Supporting documentation

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

✓ No

Wetlands Protection

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Executive Order 11990 requires avoiding to the extent possible the long and short term adverse impacts associated with the destruction or modification of wetlands, and avoiding actions that directly or indirectly support new construction in wetlands wherever there is a practicable alternative. The Fish and Wildlife Service's National Wetlands Inventory must be used as a primary screening tool, but observed or known wetlands not delineated on NWI maps must also be evaluated.	Executive Order 11990	24 CFR 55.20 can be used for general guidance regarding the 8 Step Process.

1. Does this project involve new construction as defined in 24 CFR 55.10 and referenced in Executive Order 11990? The term "new construction" shall include grading, clearing, draining, dredging, channelizing, filling, diking, impounding, and related activities for any structure or facilities, including the siting of new manufactured housing units.

No

✓ Yes

2. Will the new construction activities directly impact an onsite wetland?

The term "wetlands" means those areas that are inundated by surface or ground water with a frequency sufficient to support, and under normal circumstances does or would support, a prevalence of vegetative or aquatic life that requires saturated or seasonally saturated soil conditions for growth and reproduction. Wetlands generally include swamps, marshes, bogs, and similar areas such as sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, river overflows, mud flats, and natural ponds. This definition includes those wetland areas separated from their natural supply of water as a result of activities such as the construction of structural flood protection methods or solid fill roadbeds and activities such as mineral extraction and navigation improvements. This definition includes both wetlands subject to and those not subject to Section 404 of the Clean Water Act as well as constructed wetlands.

✓ No, an onsite wetland will not be directly impacted by new construction activities, as defined in 24 CFR 55.10.

Yes, there is an onsite wetland that will be directly impacted by new construction activities, as defined 24 CFR 55.10.

3. Will the proposed project indirectly affect wetlands by modifying the flow of stormwater, releasing pollutants, or otherwise changing conditions that contribute to wetlands viability?

Yes, wetlands may be indirectly affected by changing conditions that contribute to wetlands viability.

✓ No, wetlands will not be indirectly affected by changing conditions that contribute to wetlands viability.

4. Will the proposed project indirectly affect off-site wetlands?

Yes, off-site wetlands may be indirectly affected.

✓ No, off-site wetlands will not be indirectly affected by changing conditions that contribute to wetlands viability.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

Based on the project description, field inspection photographs, and available project documentation, the proposed improvements are not anticipated to directly or indirectly impact onsite or off-site wetlands. Therefore, the project is determined to have no anticipated adverse wetland impacts under Executive Order 11990 and 24 CFR Part 55. The project will not impact wetlands. The project is in compliance with Executive Order 11990.

Supporting documentation

Wetlands Mapper.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

✓ No

Wild and Scenic Rivers Act

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
The Wild and Scenic Rivers Act provides federal protection for certain free-flowing, wild, scenic and recreational rivers designated as components or potential components of the National Wild and Scenic Rivers System (NWSRS) from the effects of construction or development.	The Wild and Scenic Rivers Act (16 U.S.C. 1271-1287), particularly section 7(b) and (c) (16 U.S.C. 1278(b) and (c))	36 CFR Part 297

1. Is your project within proximity of a NWSRS river?

✓ No

Yes, the project is in proximity of a Designated Wild and Scenic River or Study Wild and Scenic River.
Yes, the project is in proximity of a Nationwide Rivers Inventory (NRI) River.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

This project is not within proximity of a NWSRS river. The project is in compliance with the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act. Source: https://www.rivers.gov/river-app/index.html?state=CA Accessed: 6/17/26 See attached map.

Supporting documentation

Rivers zoomed in.png
Rivers.png

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

✓ No

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
451 Seventh Street, SW
Washington, DC 20410
www.hud.gov
espanol.hud.gov

Environmental Review for Activity/Project that is Categorically Excluded Subject to Section 58.5

Pursuant to 24 CFR 58.35(a)

Project Information

Project Name: Sierra-Gardens-ADA-Improvements-

HEROS Number: 900000010536611

Start Date: 1/1/2027

Estimated End Date: 6/30/2027

Actual End Date: CA
State / Local Identifier: B-26-MC-06-0043

Project Location: 1616 Kit Carson Way, Roseville, CA 95661

Additional Location Information:
The project area includes multiple improvement locations within the Sierra Gardens neighborhood in southeast Roseville, bounded by Douglas Boulevard to the north, Linda Creek to the south, Sunrise Avenue to the west, and Rocky Ridge Drive to the east. 1616 Kit Carson Way is centrally located within the project area.

Description of the Proposed Project [24 CFR 50.12 & 58.32; 40 CFR 1508.25]:
The Sierra Gardens ADA Improvements project will improve accessibility on select routes within the Sierra Gardens neighborhood by removing architectural barriers and ensuring compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA). These improvements will enhance access to nearby businesses along Douglas Boulevard and to Maidu Regional Park on Rocky Ridge Drive. The work includes constructing approximately 90 curb ramps within existing sidewalks, removing and replacing existing curb returns, and relocating drainage inlets as needed to accommodate the ramp improvements. Construction activities will involve concrete demolition, excavation, and grading, resulting in localized ground disturbance at each improvement location. Waste management and debris generated during construction will be hauled to Western Placer Management Authority. General construction equipment will be used, including a backhoe, excavator, and jackhammer. The staging will be the responsibility of the Contractor and must be located outside the public right-of-way in a contractor environmentally cleared site. The estimated construction duration for the project is six months. All improvements will be constructed within existing City of Roseville right of way, and no property acquisition or easements are required.

Level of Environment Review Determination:
Categorically Excluded per 24 CFR 58.35(a), and subject to laws and authorities at §58.5:
58.35(a)(2)

Funding Information

Grant Number	HUD Program	Program Name	
B-26-MC-06-0043	Community Planning and Development (CPD)	Community Development Block Grants (CDBG) (Entitlement)	$1,003,827.34

Estimated Total HUD Funded Amount: $1,003,827.34

Estimated Total Project Cost [24 CFR 58.2 (a) (5)]: $1,150,000.00

Mitigation Measures and Conditions [CFR 1505.2(c)]:

Summarized below are all mitigation measures adopted by the Responsible Entity to reduce, avoid or eliminate adverse environmental impacts and to avoid non-compliance or non-conformance with the above-listed authorities and factors. These measures/conditions must be incorporated into project contracts, development agreements and other relevant documents. The staff responsible for implementing and monitoring mitigation measures should be clearly identified in the mitigation plan.

Law, Authority, or Factor	Mitigation Measure or Condition	Comments on Completed Measures	Complete
Historic Preservation / Tribal Cultural Resources	If suspected Tribal Cultural Resources (TCRs) or resources of cultural significance to the United Auburn Indian Community (UAIC) are discovered during construction or ground-disturbing activities, all work shall immediately cease within 100 feet of the discovery, or another agreed-upon distance. The City of Roseville and UAIC Tribal Representative shall be notified immediately. The UAIC Tribal Representative, in coordination with the City of Roseville, shall evaluate the discovery and recommend appropriate treatment, including avoidance, preservation in place, secure storage, or respectful reburial, as appropriate. Work shall not resume at the discovery location until authorized by the City of Roseville in coordination with the culturally affiliated Tribe. If human remains are discovered, the Placer County Coroner and culturally affiliated Tribe shall be contacted immediately. Applicable Native American Heritage Commission and Most Likely Descendant procedures shall be followed if the remains are determined to be	N/A	The City of Roseville Public Works Department shall ensure that the construction contractor(s) are informed of and comply with the UAIC Tribal Cultural Resources discovery procedures before ground-disturbing activities begin. The City shall coordinate with UAIC regarding any discoveries and ensure that appropriate treatment, documentation, and protection

	Native American. Discoveries shall be documented on DPR 523 forms and submitted to the appropriate CHRIS center within two weeks of discovery.		measures are implemented. Compliance documentation shall be maintained in the project file	

Determination:

☐	This categorically excluded activity/project converts to **EXEMPT** per Section 58.34(a)(12), because it does not require any mitigation for compliance with any listed statutes or authorities, nor requires any formal permit or license; **Funds may be committed and drawn down after certification of this part** for this (now) EXEMPT project; OR
☒	This categorically excluded activity/project cannot convert to Exempt status because one or more statutes or authorities listed at Section 58.5 requires formal consultation or mitigation. Complete consultation/mitigation protocol requirements, **publish NOI/RROF and obtain "Authority to Use Grant Funds"** (HUD 7015.16) per Section 58.70 and 58.71 before committing or drawing down any funds; OR
☐	This project is not categorically excluded OR, if originally categorically excluded, is now subject to a full Environmental Assessment according to Part 58 Subpart E due to extraordinary circumstances (Section 58.35(c)).

Preparer Signature: Danielle Calli **Date:** 11/20/26

Name / Title/ Organization: Danielle Calli / Housing Analyst, City of Roseville

Responsible Entity Agency Official Signature: Trisha Isom **Date:** 11/20/2026

Name/ Title: Trisha Isom/Housing Manager

This original, signed document and related supporting material must be retained on file by the Responsible Entity in an Environment Review Record (ERR) for the activity / project (ref: 24 CFR Part 58.38) and in accordance with recordkeeping requirements for the HUD program(s).